Review paper Laurence Jorissen

1. Generally ok, it follows the general structure of materials->methods->results, this might however, not be the optimal choice for a research paper. As it makes it hard to find subsections of information

However some parts should be structured at other segments, such as the inks should be at materials.

1. A adjectives which do not have a merit:

The study showcased **impressive** progress …

1. English is satisfactory
2. The abstract contains all the important points
3. Comments:  
   there seems to be some repetition between certain parts.

some parts are not to the point, i. e. a lot of information is partially given.

1. Rating: Good